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DEFINITIONS

Returnee: The term “returnee” in this document refers to former Bangladeshi migrants who returned to their point of departure within Bangladesh during the survey period. This could be within the territorial boundaries of Bangladesh or between a country of destination or transit and Bangladesh.

ACRONYMS

BDT: Bangladeshi Taka
BMET: Bureau of Manpower, Employment and Training
DTM: Displacement Tracking Matrix
IOM: International Organization for Migration
MFI: Microfinance institution
NGO: Non-governmental organization
NPM: Needs and Population Monitoring
REMAP: Regional Evidence for Migration Analysis and Policy
USD: United States Dollar

Statistical Notes:
1. When the label “Multiple answers possible” appears above a graph, it means that a single respondent was allowed to provide more than one answer. For this reason, totals do not add up to 100 per cent.
2. Statistics representing less than 10 people will be marked with an asterisk. Percentages of zero are automatically assumed to represent less than 10 people since the total sample size in this report is less than 1000.

DISCLAIMER

This report is part of the outputs under the European Union funded project “Regional Evidence for Migration Analysis and Policy (REMAP)”. The objective of DTM REMAP is to strengthen the evidence-based formulation and implementation of humanitarian and development policy and programming on migration and forced displacement in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, People's Republic of Bangladesh, Islamic Republic of Iran, Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Pakistan through the use of the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM). The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of IOM, its Member States, the European Union or other donors. The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout the work do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IOM concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning its frontiers or boundaries.

This publication was produced with the financial support of the European Union. Its contents are the sole responsibility of IOM and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Union.
BACKGROUND

As the COVID-19 pandemic emerged globally, migrant workers, both internationally and within Bangladesh, found themselves facing a new set of challenges and vulnerabilities. With limited access to income-generating activities, social services, healthcare systems, and social support networks, many have opted to return home. During May and June 2020, IOM, supported by the European Union under the regional program REMAP, along with the NPM team based in Cox’s Bazar, completed data collection on the needs and vulnerabilities of international and internal Bangladeshi migrant returnees. A rapid assessment report on all surveyed migrants was developed shortly afterwards, focusing on the demographic and socio-economic profile of returnees, their livelihoods and employment, their migration and return experiences and practices, and their economic and social challenges and aspirations.

Following the country-level rapid assessment, data was analysed on the district level in order to gauge potential geographical distinctions and provide greater nuance and detail to the focus themes. This district-level report summarizes the findings in Chuadanga.

Snowball sampling was used from a returnee list provided by the Government of Bangladesh in order to determine a sample population for this study. Due to mobility restrictions, data collection was phone-based. As a result of the sampling method, the survey is non-probabilistic, meaning that the sample is not necessarily representative of the returnee population of Bangladesh. Additionally, the number of female respondents was low, so the report does not necessarily represent the needs and vulnerabilities of female returnees.

The findings of the report demonstrate that international returnee respondents in Chuadanga experience several socio-economic vulnerabilities and challenges since they returned to their home district. Most prominent is the severe drop in income that respondents experienced since they returned, which is caused by the lack of employment opportunities and the loss of remittances. Moreover, respondents indicated that they are concerned about finding a job, their physical health and social and mental issues. Furthermore, debt and debt repayment are important challenges to international returnee respondents as a large proportion of returnees owe debt (63%). Finally, a large majority of respondents (99%) want to re-migrate as soon as COVID-19 ends, preferrably to the country from which they returned.

CHUADANGA

RESPONDENTS

129 TOTAL INTERNATIONAL RETURNEES SURVEYED IN CHUADANGA
INTERNATIONAL RETURNEES
The majority of the sample population were male in between 25 and 44 years old. Eighty-five per cent of respondents reported being married at the time of the interview, and of respondents who were married or had been married, 71 per cent had children.

A large majority of respondents had completed some form of formal education (93%). Of those, the most common level of completion was secondary education (28%), followed by primary education (12%). Twenty-nine per cent of respondents reported that their households were earning no income at the time of the interview.
EMPLOYMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RETURNNEES

EMPLOYMENT STATUS (multiple answers possible)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prior to Migration</th>
<th>In the Last Country of Employment Outside of Bangladesh</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Aspirational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sector</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily wages</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed/business</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not want to answer/ I don’t know</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The primary forms of employment for international returnee respondents prior to migration were daily wages (35%) and self-employment (32%). In the last country of employment, both working in the private sector (27%) and working for daily wages (40%) became more significant as opposed to prior to migration (8% and 35%). During the reporting period, nearly half of respondents reported that they were unemployed (48%). This reflects the current challenges with livelihood opportunities due to COVID-19 response measures. A large proportion of respondents aspired to be self-employed (48%) in the future. This was followed by working for daily wages (25%) and working in the private sector (18%).

Both construction and agriculture and forestry were the most common occupational sectors throughout the respondents’ migration journeys. However, agriculture and forestry became less significant in the last country of employment (9%) in contrast to prior to migration (32%) and aspirationally (25%), while construction became more important in the last country of employment (45%) as opposed to prior to migration (26%) and aspirationally (25%). On the other hand, work in manufacturing stayed relatively consistent prior to migration (12%), in the last country of migration (9%) and aspirationally (5%). Fourteen per cent of respondents did not know in what sector they aspired to work in the future.

The majority of respondents (86%) earned less than BDT 45,000 (530.66 USD)* per month in their last country of employment, with nearly one quarter earning less than BDT 15,000 (176.90 USD)* (23%). Four per cent of respondents earned more than BDT 75,000 (884.43 USD)* per month in the last country of employment.

*Exchange rates are 84.8 BDT to 1 USD, according to the UN Operational Rates of Exchange between 1 August to 1 September 2020.
MIGRATION AND RETURN JOURNEY OF INTERNATIONAL RETURNENES

WHEN DID YOU LEAVE YOUR HOME DISTRICT?

- In 2017 or before: 30%
- In 2018: 12%
- First half of 2019 (Jan-Jun): 8%
- Second half of 2019 (Jul-Dec): 18%
- In 2020: 32%

FROM WHICH COUNTRY DID YOU RETURN? (top 5 answers)

- India: 42%
- Singapore: 14%
- Saudi Arabia: 13%
- Malaysia: 9%
- Kuwait: 5%

DID YOU GO ABROAD THROUGH THE BMET OR GOVERNMENT CHANNELS?

54% YES

HOW MUCH DID YOU PAY FOR THE FACILITATION OF MIGRATION?

- BDT 238,051: Average amount paid by those who went abroad through BMET or government channels (USD 2,807.21)*
- BDT 345,661: Average amount paid by those who did not go abroad through BMET or government channels (USD 4,076.19)*

IF YOU USED A LOAN TO OBTAIN MONEY, WHAT WAS THE SOURCE OF THE LOAN? (multiple answers possible)

- Family/Friends: 50%
- MFIs: 44%
- Private bank: 24%
- Money lenders: 18%
- Do not want to answer: 8%
- Public Bank: 0%

REMITTANCES IN BDT (top 5 answers)

- 67% Of respondents sent money home every month

- Between three and six months ago: 31%
- Between two and three months ago: 60%
- 31-60 days ago: 7%
- 15-30 days ago: 1%
- 8-14 days ago: 2%
- 0-7 days ago: 0%

*Exchange rates are 84.8 BDT to 1 USD, according to the UN Operational Rates of Exchange between 1 August to 1 September 2020.
WHAT WAS YOUR POINT OF ENTRY?

- Airport: 59%
- Land border: 41%

WHO PAID FOR YOUR RETURN JOURNEY?

- I paid myself: 88%
- Employer: 11%
- Family and or friends: 5%
- Host Government: 2%

WHY DID YOU RETURN? (top 5 answers, multiple answers possible)

- I was told to leave the country by my employer because of COVID-19: 44%
- I was worried about COVID-19 so I left: 25%
- My family wanted me to come back: 16%
- My family asked me to return because of COVID-19: 16%
- Deportation: 12%

66% Of respondents received their final wages before returning

Half of respondents had emigrated from Bangladesh in the second half of 2019 or after, with 54 per cent having gone abroad via the BMET or government channels. Money for migration was obtained largely through own saving (54%) and with financial help from friends and family (41%). Sixty-seven per cent of the sample population sent remittances home, most often less than BDT 20,000 (235.85 USD)* (57%).

Ninety-one per cent of respondents returned to Bangladesh two to six months ago. The majority returned via air travel (59%), while the remaining returned via a land border (41%). Eighty-eight per cent paid for their return journeys by themselves and 11 per cent were supported by their employers.

When asked the reason for their return, 44 per cent of respondents reported that they were told to leave the country, while 25 per cent reported that they were worried about COVID-19. Family decision-making was also an important factor in returning to Bangladesh, with 32 per cent of respondents citing that their family either wanted them to come back (16%) or that they asked them to come back (16%). Twelve per cent of respondents returned because they feared deportation.

According to 76 per cent of respondents, there was no COVID-19 response in the country from which they returned. However, 26 per cent received access to information on COVID-19 and 15 per cent had prior notice of being sent back to Bangladesh due to COVID-19. Thirty per cent of international returnee respondents did not receive their final wages before returning to the country.

*Exchange rates are 84.8 BDT to 1 USD, according to the UN Operational Rates of Exchange between 1 August to 1 September 2020.
Over half of all respondents experienced challenges upon returning to Bangladesh (55%). Returnees’ main primary challenge was finding a job (69%) followed by physical health (14%) and repayment of debts (14%). However, it is important to note the relevance of the main secondary challenges: mental/psychosocial health issues (40%), physical health (21%) and repayment of debts (11%). These issues reflect the multi-faceted effects of COVID-19 and its relationship with both social and economic factors.

Another indication of household challenges is the drop in income after the respondents’ return. Forty-four per cent of respondents reported a more than 60 per cent drop in household income and 46 per cent reported a drop between 40 and 60 per cent. A convergence of factors, such as the loss of remittances, the scarcity of income generating activities due to COVID-19, the risk of working instead of isolating during the pandemic and others, may contribute to these drops in income.

Over the past 7 days, on how many days did you and your household consume these types of food?

- Cereals and tubers
- Oil and fats
- Spices and condiments
- Vegetables
- Meat or fish

SOCIOECONOMIC CHALLENGES EXPERIENCED BY INTERNATIONAL RETURN MIGRANTS IN CHUADANGA

- Finding a job
- Physical health
- Repayment of debts
- Mental/psychosocial health
- Physical health
- Repayment of debts
- Repayment of debts
- Financial problem
- Mental/psychosocial health

Drop in household income after return

FREQUENCY OF CONSUMPTION PER FOOD TYPE (top 5 answers)

Over the past 7 days, on how many days did you and your household consume these types of food?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Type</th>
<th>Prior to lockdown</th>
<th>In the last 7 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cereals and tubers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and fats</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spices and condiments</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat or fish</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAILY MEALS CONSUMED BY HOUSEHOLD

- No drop
- Less than 20%
- 20%-40%
- 40%-60%
- More than 60%

Prior to lockdown: 1
In the last 7 days: 2

Cereals and tubers
Oil and fats
Spices and condiments
Vegetables
Meat or fish

Acceptable
Borderline
Poor

40% 21% 11%
35% 24% 18%

CHALLENGES EXPERIENCED BY INTERNATIONAL RETURNNEES

55%

Of respondents experienced challenges upon returning to their community

- Finding a job
- Physical health
- Repayment of debts

Drop in household income after return

FREQUENCY OF CONSUMPTION PER FOOD TYPE (top 5 answers)

Over the past 7 days, on how many days did you and your household consume these types of food?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Type</th>
<th>Prior to lockdown</th>
<th>In the last 7 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cereals and tubers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and fats</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spices and condiments</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat or fish</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAILY MEALS CONSUMED BY HOUSEHOLD

- No drop
- Less than 20%
- 20%-40%
- 40%-60%
- More than 60%

Prior to lockdown: 1
In the last 7 days: 2

Cereals and tubers
Oil and fats
Spices and condiments
Vegetables
Meat or fish

Acceptable
Borderline
Poor

40% 21% 11%
35% 24% 18%
In total, 63 per cent of respondents or respondent households reported that they owed debt, a majority of which was owed MFIs/Self help groups/NGOs (48%), family and friends (42%), and private bank (25%).

Prior to returning, the majority of international returnee respondents planned to repay their debts with the personal income they would earn through their job abroad (88%). Another 27 per cent reported income and job earnings from their family members as a debt repayment method. Three per cent indicated that they did not know how to repay their debt.

Alternatively, 22 per cent of respondents did not know how they would repay their debt after having returned to their home districts. Forty-one per cent planned to repay their debt with personal income made through their formal job. This was followed by income and job earnings from family members (33%), income made through business activities (16%) and borrowing from family and friends (6%).
Among international returnee respondents, 44 per cent were planning to be employed in the future. This included those wanting to work in the private sector, in the public sector, for daily wages and as contractors. Alternatively, 48 per cent of respondents aspired to be self-employed in the future, either starting or running their own business.

Of the respondents who planned to be self-employed, 26 per cent had seed money to start their business. Those who did not, planned to arrange for seed money primary through loans (94%). Family finances were also an important method through which respondents planned to attain seed money (11%), followed by selling land or property (2%).

Two per cent of female international returnee respondents planned to be housewives in the future.
FUTURE MIGRATION ASPIRATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL RETURNEES

When asked whether they would want to re-migrate, 72 per cent of the sample population responded positively to international migration, while only one respondent wanted to re-migrate internally. Sixteen per cent of respondents reported that they would not want to re-migrate. Among those that wanted to re-migrate, the majority of respondents reported that they want to leave after COVID-19 ends (94%). One per cent of respondents did not know when they would re-migrate.

Almost all respondents who want to re-migrate would choose to go back to the same country they were working in before returning to Bangladesh (99%). Meanwhile, 66 per cent of respondents are interested in upgrading their skill set. Seventeen per cent have already taken training to upgrade their skill set and of those, 41 per cent have a training certificate.

IF YOU WANT TO RE-MIGRATE, WHERE? INTERNATIONAL DESTINATIONS (top 4 answers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>10%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF YOU WANT TO RE-MIGRATE TO THE SAME COUNTRY, WHICH ONE? (top 4 answers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>10%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF YOU WANT TO RE-MIGRATE, WHEN?

- After COVID-19 ends: 94%
- Within 4 weeks: 2%
- Between two and three months: 1%*
- Between four and six months: 1%*