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## OVERVIEW:

From 27 July- 4 October 2021, the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) deployed its Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) to capture internal displacement related to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis. This multisectoral location assessment assesses the number of internally displaced persons (IDPs) and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

By this eighth round, DTM's 4,061 key informants reported that 2,114,653 IDPs (453,263 households) were displaced across 695 sites in Tigray, Amhara and Afar regions thus far. 1,814,284 IDPs (386,741 households) were reported in Tigray region, 151,040 IDPs (38,740 households) in Amhara region and 149,329 IDPs (27,782 households) in Afar region. While the level of access improved significantly within Tigray region this round, a lack of access and insecurity still posed challenges in the Western and Southern zones in Tigray region, Wag Hamra and North Wello zones in Amhara region, and Zone 4 in Afar region during the time of data collection.

## HIGHLIGHTS:

## 2, I 14,653 IDPs*

## CONTEXT:

- Improved access and new displacement: Overall, there was a slight $+0.4 \%$ increase in the estimated number of IDPs between Round 7 and Round 8, reflecting a combination of improved access and a slight rise in new displacement. Following improved access in the region, 344 new sites were covered accounting for an increase of 614,376 IDPs, 379,356 IDPs of whom were in Tigray region, 137,882 IDPs in Amhara region and 97,138 IDPs in Afar region.
- Persisting access issues: However active hostilities have continued in the Western Zone of Tigray region and in North Wello, Wag Hamra and parts of North Gondar zones in Amhara region and in Zone 2 and Zone 4 in Afar region, resulting in new displacement.
- Intentions: According to the Joint Household Level Intention Survey carried out in July 2021, 89\% of respondents wanted to return to their places of origin. However, the majority of IDPs surveyed in urban areas in Tigray region originate from Western Zone, which is not conducive to return as a result of ongoing insecurity. (Joint Household Level Intention Survey).
- Returns: Although some IDPs have started to return to their places of origin, many IDPs are still unable to more permanently return to their places of origin due to a lack of food, destroyed houses, loss of assets, and a lack of livelihoods. However, there was a $-27.2 \%$ decrease in the estimated number of IDPs in locations that were covered in both Emergency Site Assessment Round 7 (June 2021) and Round 8 (August 2021). Though this decrease can be primarily attributed to the effect of the headcount in Shire, when the five sites that were affected by the headcount are removed from analysis, there was still an overall decrease of $-5.6 \%$ across locations assessed in both rounds, implying that IDPs have started to return.
- Door-to-door headcount: DTM carried out an extensive data verification exercise for IDPs living in host communities in Shire from 29 September to 4 October 2021 which involved a house-to-house verification of the number of IDPs and host community members living in each shelter. Following the exercise, a total of 206,482 IDPs were found to be living in Shire. Based on the findings of the exercise, the ESA Round 8 figure for Shire was adjusted and the results of the exercise have been incorporated into the report. More information on the methodology and context can be found on the following page.
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## Data collection:

27 July - 31 August 2021

The ESA is carried out on a monthly basis. In the eighth round, 3 regions, 14 zones, 106 woredas, 416 kebeles and 695 sites were covered.

The number of participants in focus group discussions for the Emergency Site Assessment are based on the size of the displaced population at the site:

4 IDPs for sites with 50-100 IDP households 5 IDPs for sites with 101-150 IDP households 6 IDPs for sites with 151-200 IDP households 7 IDPs for sites with 201 IDP households or more

Depending on the size of the focus group discussion, more IDP leaders, females, youths and elderly persons must be part of the discussion. In Round 8 of the ESA, $35 \%$ of key informants were female. However, the aim is to have $50 \%$ female participation in every focus group discussion whenever it is possible.
egions with reported displacements of 20 IDP househ or more who were displaced due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis, provided that access and security allow.

## ACCESSIBILITY AND COVERAGE INTIGRAY, AMHARA AND AFAR REGIONS



Map 1: Levels of accessibility and areas where the Emergency Site Assessment was conducted across Tigray, Amhara and Afar regions.

## DISPLACEMENT CASELOADS IN TIGRAY, AMHARA AND AFAR REGIONS



Map 2: Displacement related to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis across Tigray, Amhara and Afar regions.

DEMOGRAPHICS


49\% males I,018,192 males

$51 \%$ females
I,075,673 females

## MOBILITY

## 49

Number of sites where IDPs have been previously displaced (I20,279 IDPs)

Number of sites where IDPs have been displaced for the first time ( $1,967,838$ IDPs)


Top 7 Woredas Hosting IDPs

| WOREDA | ROUND 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MEKELLE | 272,353 IDPs |
| SHERARO | 270,5 I3 IDPs |
| SHIRE | 206,482 IDPs* |
| ADWA | 199,010 IDPs |
| AXUM | 138,623 IDPs |
| DESSIE TOWN | 115,34 I IDPs |
| IAHTAY <br> KORARO | 95,432 IDPs |

New Displacement Caseload in Tigray,Amhara and Afar Regions (Sites Assessed in Both Rounds) 27 July - 3 I August 202 I


Breaking down the trends by region and zone, increases in the number of estimated IDPs can be seen in Kilbati (Zone 2)
of Afar region (+8.1\%) and Central Gondar zone in Amhara region (+3.5\%).

Decreases in Displacement Caseload Implying Possible Returns in Tigray Region (Sites Assessed in Both Rounds) 27 July - 31 August 202 I


In parallel, as seen in the figure above, substantial decreases can be seen in the number of IDPs identified in Southern, South Eastern, and Eastern zones in Tigray zone, as well as smaller but still significant decreases in Mekelle and Central zones. These decreases are substantiated by reports of
ongoing returns by key informants, which will be verified through DTM's new shorted Village Assessment Survey tool which will be used to identify returning IDPs and their multisectoral needs.

## DOOR-TO-DOOR HEADCOUNT

The door-to-door headcount exercise was carried out in Shire town from 29 September to 4 October 2021. The exercise covered the 5 kebeles contained within Shire town which were then further divided into 75 blocks and assigned to 60 enumerators. Enumerators visited all inhabited and uninhabited buildings within an assigned block between the hours of 7AM and 5PM to count inhabitants. If a building was empty or IDPs were not present at the time of the visit, enumerators returned at least once to each location to check and ensure that as many individuals as possible were counted during the exercise.

Enumerators counted the number of residents, IDPs and commuters living in the host community in Shire town. A commuter, for the purposes of this exercise, is defined as an individual that does not reside in the town but enters and exits the urban area daily for the purposes of labor. Each household visit lasted an average of between 5 and 6 minutes, and door markings were used to ensure that houses were not missed or counted more than once.

## Other points for contextual understanding:

Data collection for Emergency Site Assessment Round 7 took place in June 2021, and in the months between Round 7 and the headcount for ESA Round 8 (late September - early October 2021), a number of contextual developments would have influenced changes in the number of IDPs:

- Change in administration in Tigray region in July 2021 and improved accessibility would have resulted in the returns of some IDPs from Shire to their places of origin. This will be verified by DTM's Shortened Village Assessment Survey Round 1 which is currently on hold due to a lack of fuel in Tigray region.
- Based on DTM's Household Level Intention Survey in Tigray region, $92 \%$ of respondents in Shire mentioned that they would like to return to their places of origin. $33 \%$ of IDPs in Shire reported that they originated from Northwestern zone while $64 \%$ said they came from Western zone. It is possible that some IDPs who originated from Northwestern zone have returned, and it has also been observed that some IDPs have moved from Shire to other locations in Tigray region. This will be verified further when ESA round 9 and shortened VAS round 1 are able to resume.

DTM's best estimate of the total number of IDPs in Shire:

206,482 IDPs
IDPs in the host community: 81,152 IDPs
IDPs in the collective centers and planned camp: 125,330 IDPs

## Main findings:

- During the headcount exercise, 203,370 people were counted, of which 119,640 were residents (59\%) and 81,152 were IDPs living with them (40\%).
- Of the IDPs recorded living in the host community, most were residing in Kebele 4 (30\%) and Kebele 3 (29\%).
- Commuters accounted for the remaining $1 \%$ reported, and additional information can be found in the table below.


## Operational challenges:

- Due to methodological constraints, it is possible that some IDPs might not have been in their accommodations when enumerators arrived at the door. Many IDPs residing within the host community look for food and livelihood opportunities during the day. Understanding this, DTM ensured that enumerators returned at least once to each location to check the presence of the household and IDP members.
- During the week of data collection, a community meeting in Kebele 4 was held, and a number of household members were unavailable.
- The exercise was carried out during the week of the Meskel holiday which might have affected mobility and numbers.
- In a few instances, heads of household refused to provide the breakdown of IDPs and residents in the location.

DTM plans to conduct additional headcount exercises in urban areas with reportedly high numbers of IDPs living in host communities.

## DURABLE SOLUTIONS

## I,95I,768 IDPs across 632 Sites (90.94\%) Return

A majority of IDPs in 577 sites in Tigray and 55 sites in Amhara prefer to return.

## | 62,56 | IDPs across 60 Sites <br> (8.63\%) <br> Locally Integrate

A majority of IDPs in 28 sites in Amhara, 28 sites in Afar and 4 sites in Tigray prefer to locally integrate.

324 IDPs across 3 Sites (0.43\%) Relocate

The majority of IDPs in almost 91\% of assessed sites view return as their preferred longer-term solution, but this is not evenly spread across regions. 99\% of sites in Tigray region and $64 \%$ of sites in Amhara region prefer return. However, $100 \%$ of sites in Afar region prefer local integration. IOM in coordination with partners conducted a Household Level Intention Survey in 7 urban areas in Tigray region during July 2021. Of the 2,696 IDP households surveyed, $89 \%$ of IDPs
wanted to return to their places of origin, and $10 \%$ wanted to locally integrate, which is fairly consistent with the findings of ESA Round 8. In addition, IDPs from the Western and North Western zones in Tigray region, who made up $53 \%$ and $28 \%$ of interviewed participants in the Household Level Intention Survey respectively, were significantly more likely to report safety and security as a barrier to return.*

Support needed to locally integrate (no. of sites)
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## INFRASTRUCTURE \& SERVICES

The table below depicts the fuctional status of key infrastructure and services by number of sites and site population:

|  |  | Mostly Functioning | Mostly Not Functioning | Infrastructure <br> Is Destroyed | Infrastructure Never Existed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5$ | Electricity | $\begin{gathered} 74 \text { sites } \\ (163,84 \mathrm{IIDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 368 \text { sites } \\ (7 \mid 4,86 / \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 202 sites } \\ (1,054,186 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \text { sites } \\ (181,765 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Tap water | $\begin{gathered} \text { I20 sites } \\ (336,774 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 439 \text { sites } \\ (\mathrm{I}, \mid 29,304 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \text { sites } \\ (543,230 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \text { sites } \\ (105,345 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $(((8)))$ | Cell phone coverage | $\begin{gathered} 106 \text { sites } \\ (210,227 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 340 \text { sites } \\ (666,034 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 235 \text { sites } \\ (\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 75, \mathrm{I} 80 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \text { sites } \\ (63,2 \mid 2 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ |
| $i$ | Road to site | $\begin{gathered} 527 \text { sites } \\ (1,451,113 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 156 sites } \\ (648,250 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { sites } \\ (10,859 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { sites } \\ (4,43 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Primary school | $\begin{gathered} \text { I2I sites } \\ (305,683 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 333 \text { sites } \\ (572,272 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 224 \text { sites } \\ (\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 58, \mathrm{I} 59 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \text { sites } \\ (78,539 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Health facility | $\begin{gathered} 312 \text { sites } \\ (983,946 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 243 \text { sites } \\ (435,731 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 127 sites } \\ (652,330 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { sites } \\ (42,646 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\square$ | Place of worship | $\begin{gathered} 639 \text { sites } \\ (1,883,969 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { I sites } \\ (192, \text { I } 80 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { sites } \\ (15,03 \mid \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2} \text { sites } \\ (23,473 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\pi$ | Market | $\begin{gathered} 577 \text { sites } \\ (1,745,101 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{I} \text { sites } \\ (278,580 \mathrm{IDPs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I sites } \\ (2,276 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \text { sites } \\ (88,696 \text { IDPs }) \end{gathered}$ |

581 of the 695 sites accessed in ESA Round 8 were located in Tigray region. All sites in Mekelle, South Eastern and Southern zones reported that the electricity infrastructure was mostly not functioning, and in Central and Northwest zones 61\% and $72 \%$ of sites reported electrical infrastructure existed but had been destroyed. The majority of IDPs in $71 \%$ of sites in Tigray region reported that tap water infrastructure was mostly not
functioning, $15 \%$ reported that infrastructure existed but was destroyed, and $7 \%$ that it never existed. $98 \%$ of sites in South Eastern zone reported that tap water is not functioning, $97 \%$ in Southern zone, $97 \%$ in Eastern zone, $95 \%$ in Mekelle zone, and $93 \%$ of sites in Central zone reported that tap water was not functioning, existed but was destroyed, or never existed.

## SHELTER

Types of Shelters IDPs are Living in (no. of sites)


Notably, there was a large increase (+42,901 individuals) of IDPs living in collective centers in Afar region following the opening of an additional 4 collective center sites in the region.

In 202 sites, 1-25\% of IDP households were living outside or in an open space, and in 4 sites, 26-51\% were living outside or in open space, 3 of which were in North Western zone, Tigray region and 1 was in Awsi (Zone 1) in Afar region. In 4 sites, 51$75 \%$ of IDP households were living outside or in open spaces, 2 of which were in Awsi (Zone 1) and 1 was in Fenti (Zone 4) in

## Main Concerns with the Current Shelter (no. of sites)

The main concerns with current shelters reported by IDPs were a lack of privacy in 664 sites (2,016,717 IDPs), followed by a lack of light in 650 sites (1,972,117 IDPs), overcrowding in 432 sites (1,519,965 IDPs) and a lack of security in 118 sites (259,845 IDPs). Please note that this was a multiple-option question.

## NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)

Most Needed NFI for IDPs (no. of sites)

-Tigray ■Amhara ■Afar
The most needed NFI item reported in Afar and Tigray regions was emergency shelter kits $(27$ sites and 267 sites, respectively), while in Amhara it was bedding sets (47 sites). In Afar region, the need for emergency shelter kits was particularly prevalent in Kilbati (Zone 2) and Fenti (Zone 4) zones.

Afar region and 1 was in West Gonder zone in Amhara region. In 3 sites, 76-99\% of IDP households were living outside; these 3 sites were located in Fenti (Zone 4), Afar region.

65\% of sites in Tigray reported that IDP households were not living outside, and $98 \%$ of sites in Amhara region reported that IDP households were not living outside. This is consistent with the high levels of IDP households reported to be residing with host communities in these regions.


> 630 Sites
> Number of sites where a majority of IDPs have not received the most needed NFI since being displaced

In Tigray region, the need for emergency shelter kits was most evident in Central and North Western zones, and in Amhara region the need for bedding sets was most visible in South Wollo and North Gonder zones.

## WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

## Distance Required to Reach the Nearest Water Distribution Point



In 349 sites, the main water distribution point was on-site and required less than a 30 -minute walk to reach. While for 156 sites, the distance required more than a 30-minute walk and was off-site.

Of the sites that report water distribution is off-site and requires a greater than 30 minute walk, $35 \%$ are in Central zone, Tigray region ( 55 sites) and $31 \%$ are in North Western zone, Tigray region ( 48 sites).

## FOOD \& NUTRITION

Main Source for Accessing Food (no. of sites)


Source of Drinking Water (no. of sites)


A majority of IDPs rely on water trucking distribution to collect water in 152 sites and unprotected wells in 121 sites. Across the regions, 144 of the 581 sites ( $25 \%$ ) accessed in Tigray region rely on water trucking distribution while 2 of 28 sites (7\%) in Afar region and 6 of 86 sites (7\%) in Amhara region report water trucking.
In both Afar and Amhara regions the most reported source of drinking water is a tap water network in 12 sites (43\%) and 54 sites ( $63 \%$ ), respectively. In Tigray region, sites in Central ( 43 sites), Mekelle ( 40 sites) and North Western ( 37 sites) zones report a reliance on water trucking, while in Eastern zone 49 sites report reliance on unprotected wells.

A majority of IDPs in 341 sites in Tigray region are mainly relying on host community donations. IDPs in 197 sites in Tigray region rely on food assistance, while all 28 sites that report not having access to food are also in Tigray region.

In 5 sites in Tigray region, hosting an estimated 51,949 IDPs, the majority of IDPs have gone a whole day and night without food over 10 times during the past 30 days; 3 sites in Adwa Town woreda, Central zone; 1 site in Hahayle woreda, Central zone; and 1 site in Maichew Town woreda, Southern zone.

The following is the breakdown of sites and the last time food was reportedly distributed in the site:

| I30 sites | Within the month |
| :---: | :---: |
| 72 sites | I month ago |
| 69 sites | 2 months ago |
| 34 sites | 3 months ago |
| 154 sites | $>3$ months ago |
| 123 sites | Irregular |
| $\|\mid 3$ sites | None |

Of the sites where there has reportedly been no food distribution, 39 sites were in Central zone, Tigray region, 25 sites were in North Western zone, Tigray region, and 22 sites were in Eastern zone, Tigray region.

## HEALTH

The majority of IDPs in 270 sites reported that the nearest health facility was off-site and required more than a 20-minute walk to reach. 262 of these sites were in Tigray region, 65 sites were in North Western zone and 50 sites were in Central zone. However, in 137 sites health facilities were on-site and required less than a 20-minute walk to reach.

Additionally, 13 sites relied on mobile clinics/health visits, and IDPs in 105 sites reported having no access to health facilities. 103 of these sites were in Tigray region, and

Distance to Health Facilities (no. of sites and IDPs)


## ||3 Sites (249,012 IDPs) Number of sites where there has reportedly been no food distribution

## Access to Markets

The following is the breakdown of sites and their access to a market:

| 643 sites | Have access to a market |
| :--- | :--- |
| 52 sites | Do not have access to a market |

2 were in Afar region. Of the sites that reported no access to health facilities, $38 \%$ were in Eastern zone, Tigray region, and $35 \%$ were in Central zone, Tigray region. Note that this analysis evaluates the distance to the health facilities and does not equate to access to heath services.

A lack of medicine was the most reported factor preventing IDPs from accessing health services (640 sites). 547 of these sites were in Tigray region, 158 sites in Central zone and 123 sites in North Western zone. Please note that this was a multiple-option question.

## Factors Preventing IDPs from Accessing

 Health Services (no. of sites)

Main Health Concern (no. of sites)


The primary health concern reported differs per region. In Afar region the primary health concern was malaria (61\%), in Amhara region it was diarrhea (55\%), and in Tigray region the primary health concern reported was acute malnutrition (34\%). All sites that reported acute malnutrition as the primary heath concern were located in Tigray region, and $30 \%$ or 58 sites were in Central zone.

## EDUCATION

The following is the breakdown of sites and their access to primary school education:

## 63 sites Displaced children have access to (formal) primary schools

632 sites Displaced children do not have access to (formal) primary schools
Issues with Accessing Education (no. of sites)


## 42 sites <br> IDP children have access to alternative basic education/ temporary learning centers

IDPs in 259 sites cited the lack of security as their main issue with accessing education. Of the 324 sites that mentioned 'other' as the issue, this was mainly the absence of educational services ( 250 sites) and that data collection took place during the summer season when schools are
closed (59 sites). All 250 sites that reported an absence of educational services were in Tigray region, and 118 were in Eastern zone.

## LIVELIHOODS

## Current Occupation of the Majority of IDPs

Reported by Site


## Access to Land (no. of sites)

The following is the breakdown of sites and IDPs' access to land for cultivation:

```
6 9 5 \text { sites}
```

Do not have access to land for cultivation

Of the 649 sites that report no occupation, $23 \%$ were in Central zone, Tigray region, and $18 \%$ were in North Western zone, Tigray region.

## Source of Income

Of the 695 sites assessed, IDP households in 648 sites reported that they did not have a source of income; 150 sites were in Central zone, Tigray region, and 119 sites were in North Western zone, Tigray region. In 40 sites, 1-25\% of IDPs reported a source of income, and in 5 sites, 26-50\% of IDPs reported a source of income.

## Possession of Livestock (no. of sites)

The following is the breakdown of sites and IDPs' possession of livestock in these sites:

| 684 sites | Do not have livestock |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 sites | I-25\% have livestock |
| 4 sites | $26-50 \%$ have livestock |
| 2 sites | $51-75 \%$ have livestock |

Resources and Assets thatWere Lost or Damaged During Displacement by Number of Sites


## ANNEX: SUMMARY TABLES OF DISPLACEMENT



| Round | Region | Zone | Woreda | Cause | Before 2020 |  |  | During 2020 |  |  | In 2021 |  |  | Total HH | Total Individuals | Total Sites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | нH | Individuals | Sites | HH | Individuals | Sites | нн | Individuals | Sites |  |  |  |
| Emergency Site <br> Assessment Round 8 (August 2021) | Tigray | North Western | Tahtay Koraro | Conflict | - | - | - | 19,970 | 81,590 | 32 | 3,476 | 13,842 | 3 | 23,446 | 95,432 | 35 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Adi Daero | Conflict | 481 | 2,762 | 1 | 3,331 | 21,956 | 8 | 130 | 806 | 1 | 3,942 | 25,524 | 10 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Endabaguna | Conflict | - | . | - | 5,067 | 30,110 | 3 | . | . | - | 5,067 | 30,110 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Laelay Koraro | Conflict | 650 | 3,204 | 1 | 758 | 3,608 | 2 | $\cdot$ | - | - | 1,408 | 6,812 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Seyemti Adyabo | Conflict | 2,422 | 15,935 | 1 | 117 | 451 | 2 | 574 | 2,899 | 1 | 3,113 | 19,285 | 4 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Sheraro | Conflict | 3,423 | 17,818 | 1 | 41,988 | 227,253 | 9 | 3,619 | 25,442 | 10 | 49,030 | 270,513 | 20 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Shire | Conflict | - | - | - | 35,093 | 165,547 | 15 | 8,357 | 40,935 | 9 | 43,450 | 206,482 | 24 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Tsimbla | Conflict | 656 | 1,988 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 656 | 1,988 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Zana | Conflict | - | - | - | 727 | 2,908 | 2 | - | - | - | 727 | 2,908 | 2 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Maekel Adiyabo | Conflict | - | - | - | 783 | 4,113 | 5 | 265 | 1,355 | 2 | 1,048 | 5,468 | 7 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Asgede | Conflict | $\cdot$ | - | $\cdot$ | 16,497 | 93,152 | 9 | - | - | - | 16,497 | 93,152 | 9 |
|  | Tigray | North Western | Tahtay Adiyabo | Conflict | - | $\cdot$ | - | 5,964 | 42,250 | 2 | 500 | 2,835 | 1 | 6,464 | 45,085 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Enderta | Conflict | 1,294 | 4,530 | 5 | 118 | 404 | 3 | 861 | 2,645 | 11 | 2,273 | 7,579 | 19 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Adigudom | Conflict | - | - | - | 662 | 2,761 | 4 | 73 | 359 | 1 | 735 | 3,120 | 5 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Degua Tembien | Conflict | 94 | 178 | 1 | 178 | 527 | 6 | - | - | - | 272 | 705 | 7 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Hagereselam | Conflict | - | - | - | 118 | 480 | 5 | 116 | 551 | 1 | 234 | 1,031 | 6 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Hintalo | Conflict | 399 | 1,132 | 3 | - | - | - | 63 | 205 | 1 | 462 | 1,337 | 4 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Samre | Conflict | 268 | 698 | 2 | $\cdot$ | - | - | 43 | 144 | 1 | 311 | 842 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Seharti | Conflict | - | - | - | 414 | 1,397 | 4 | 20 | 41 | 1 | 434 | 1,438 | 5 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Wejerat | Conflict | 933 | 3,575 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 933 | 3,575 | 1 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Endamehoni | Conflict | 899 | 2,098 | 6 | 39 | 125 | 1 | 136 | 396 | 1 | 1,074 | 2,619 | 8 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Korem Town | Conflict | - | - | - | 566 | 1,872 | 3 | - | - | - | 566 | 1,872 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Maychew | Conflict | - | - | - | 1,263 | 4,221 | 4 | - | - | - | 1,263 | 4,221 | 4 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Raya Alamata | Conflict | 1,036 | 2,362 | 5 | 786 | 2,528 | 4 | 257 | 735 | 3 | 2,079 | 5,625 | 12 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Raya Azebo | Conflict | 632 | 2,147 | 5 | 35 | 132 | 1 | - | - | - | 667 | 2,279 | 6 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Ofla | Conflict | 440 | 2,091 | 3 | 309 | 1,464 | 6 | - | - | - | 749 | 3,555 | 9 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Imba Alaje | Conflict | 960 | 2,584 | 3 | 1,542 | 4,680 | 8 | 99 | 221 | 1 | 2,601 | 7,485 | 12 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Alamata Town | Conflict | - | - | - | 2,025 | 6,821 | 4 | - | - | - | 2,025 | 6,821 | 4 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Raya Chercher | Conflict | 221 | 655 | 2 | - | - | - | 282 | 592 | 1 | 503 | 1,247 | 3 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Mekoni Town | Conflict | 390 | 1,367 | 1 | 142 | 593 | 1 | - | - | - | 532 | 1,960 | 2 |
|  | Tigray Total |  |  |  | 39,101 | 142,148 | 111 | 303,692 | 1,477,730 | 361 | 41,108 | 182,103 | 102 | 383,901 | 1,801,981 | 574 |
| Emergency Site Assessment Round 7 (June 2021) | Afar | Fenti (Zone 4) | Gulina | Conflict | . | . | - | 467 | 2,802 | 1 | - |  | - | 467 | 2,802 | 1 |
|  | Afar | Fenti (Zone 4) | Yalo | Conflict | . | . | - | 1,160 | 6,960 | 4 | - | - | - | 1,160 | 6,960 | 4 |
|  | Afar | Kilbati (Zone 2) | Koneba | Conflict | - | - | - | 255 | 1,275 | 1 | . | - | - | 255 | 1,275 | 1 |
|  | Afar Total |  |  |  | . | - | . | 1,882 | 11,037 | 6 | . | - | . | 1,882 | 11,037 | 6 |
|  | Amhara | Central Gonder | West Belesa | Conflict | - | - | $\cdot$ | 82 | 225 | 1 | - | - | $\cdot$ | 82 | 225 | 1 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Dehana | Conflict | - | $\cdot$ | - | 179 | 433 | 3 | - | - | $\cdot$ | 179 | 433 | 3 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Gaz Gibla | Conflict | 31 | 54 | 1 | 99 | 406 | 1 | - | $\cdot$ | - | 130 | 460 | 2 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Sahla | Conflict | - | - | - | 26 | 45 | 1 | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 26 | 45 | 1 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Sekota | Conflict | - | - | - | 143 | 351 | 2 | - | - | . | 143 | 351 | 2 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Ziquala | Conflict | - | - | - | 42 | 110 | 1 | - | - | - | 42 | 110 | 1 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Abergele | Conflict | . | . | - | 386 | 1,277 | 1 | - | - | - | 386 | 1,277 | 1 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Sekota City Administration | Conflict | - | - | - | 70 | 250 | 1 | - | - | - | 70 | 250 | 1 |
|  | Amhara | Wag Himra | Tsagbije | Conflict | - | - | - | 89 | 340 | 1 | - | - | - | 89 | 340 | 1 |
|  | Amhara | West Gonder | Midre Genet | Conflict | - | - | - | 310 | 747 | 2 | - | - | - | 310 | 747 | 2 |
|  | Amhara Total |  |  |  | 31 | 54 | 1 | 1,426 | 4,184 | 14 | - | - | - | 1,457 | 4,238 | 15 |
|  | Tigray | Eastern | Gulomekeda | Conflict | 1,488 | 6,183 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,488 | 6,183 | 1 |
|  | Tigray | Eastern | Zalanbesa Town | Conflict | - | - | - | 1,077 | 5,241 | 4 | - | - | - | 1,077 | 5,241 | 4 |
|  | Tigray | South East | Enderta | Conflict | 69 | 215 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69 | 215 | 1 |
|  | Tigray | Southern | Raya Azebo | Conflict | 206 | 664 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 206 | 664 | 1 |
|  | Tigray Total |  |  |  | 1,763 | 7,062 | 3 | 1,077 | 5,241 | 4 | - | . | . | 2,840 | 12,303 | 7 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 40,895 | 149,264 | 115 | 319,188 | 1,547,635 | 414 | 93,180 | 417,754 | 166 | 453,263 | 2,114,653 | 695 |




[^0]:    *This displacement total includes the 27,578 IDPs ( 6, I79 households) identified as part of Round 7 across 28 sites in Fenti (Zone 4) and Kilbati (Zone 2) zones in Afar region, Central Gonder,Wag Himra and West Gonder zones in Amhara region, and Southern, South Eastern, and Central zones in Tigray region that were inaccessible during Round 8. Please refer to the annex for the full details.

[^1]:    *IOM's Household Level Intention Survey can be found at the following link: https://dtm.iom.int/reports/ethiopia-\%E2\%80\%94-household-level-intention-survey-tig-

